## **OPINION 1666**

Aphrodita imbricata Linnaeus, 1767 (currently Harmothoe imbricata) and Aphrodita minuta Fabricius, 1780 (currently Pholoe minuta) (Annelida, Polychaeta): specific names conserved

## Ruling

- (1) Under the plenary powers the following specific names are hereby suppressed:
- (a) *lepidota* Pallas, 1766, as published in the binomen *Aphrodita lepidota*, for the purposes of the Principle of Priority but not for those of the Principle of Homonymy;
- (b) *minuta* Pennant, 1777, as published in the binomen *Aphrodita minuta*, and all other uses of this name before the publication of *A. minuta* Fabricius, 1780, for the purposes of both the Principle of Priority and the Principle of Homonymy.
- (2) The following names are hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology:
  - (a) imbricata Linnaeus, 1767, as published in the binomen Aphrodita imbricata;
  - (b) minuta Fabricius, 1780, as published in the binomen Aphrodita minuta.
- (3) The following names are hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology:
  - (a) lepidota Pallas, 1766, as published in the binomen Aphrodita lepidota and as suppressed in (1)(a) above;
  - (b) minuta Pennant, 1777, as published in the binomen Aphrodita minuta and as suppressed in (1)(b) above.

## **History of Case 2452**

An application for the conservation of the specific names of *Aphrodita imbricata* Linnaeus, 1767 and *A. minuta* Fabricius, 1780 was received from Dr Susan Chambers and Mr David Heppell (*National Museums of Scotland, Edinburgh, U.K.*) on 30 September 1983. The case was held pending proposed taxonomic revisions and was eventually published in BZN 46: 22–24 (March 1989). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals.

A comment from Dr Mary E. Petersen (*Zoological Museum*, *University of Copenhagen*, *Denmark*), published in BZN 47: 207–209 (September 1990), supported the conservation of *Aphrodita minuta* Fabricius, 1780 by suppressing the earlier unused homonym *A. minuta* Pennant, 1777, but preferred the name *Aphrodita imbricata* Linnaeus, 1767 to be given precedence over *A. lepidota* Pallas, 1766, rather than the proposed suppression of the latter name. A reply by the authors of the application was published at the same time.

Dr Petersen considered that leaving the name A. lepidota available for possible future use would be advantageous since it was almost certain that revision of A. imbricata would result in that nominal species being split. While agreeing the latter point, Dr Chambers & Mr Heppell noted that, in the absence of type material, the name lepidota Pallas, 1766 could not be applied with confidence.

## Decision of the Commission

On 1 September 1991 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 46: 23. At the close of the voting period on 1 December 1991 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 22: Bock, Cocks, Corliss, Hahn, Halvorsen, Heppell, Kabata, Kraus, Lehtinen, Macpherson, Mahnert, Martins de Souza, Minelli (in part), Nielsen, Nye, Ride, Savage, Schuster, Starobogatov, Trjapitzin, Uéno, Willink

Negative votes — 3: Bayer, Minelli (in part) and Thompson.

Dupuis and Holthuis abstained.

No vote was received from Cogger.

Minelli voted for the suppression of *Aphrodita minuta* Pennant, 1777 but not for the suppression of *A. lepidota* Pallas, 1766. Dupuis commented: 'Pending a better taxonomic knowledge of the genus I think it is premature to decide the case from a mere nomenclatural point of view'. Holthuis, Minelli, Ride and Thompson expressed support for the course suggested by Dr Petersen, namely that the name *imbricata* be given precedence over *lepidota*. The Executive Secretary consulted Dr Nielsen (*University of Copenhagen*) on this point; he replied (in agreement with Chambers & Heppell, BZN 47: 210) that Pallas's name could not be appropriately assigned to any particular taxon which might be differentiated from *imbricata*.

Original references

The following are the original references to the names placed on an Official List and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion: imbricata, Aphrodita, Linnaeus, 1767, Systema Naturae, Ed. 12, vol. 1(2), p. 1084. lepidota, Aphrodita, Pallas, 1766, Miscellanea Zoologica, p. 94.

minuta, Aphrodita, O. Fabricius, 1780, Fauna Groenlandica, p. 314. minuta, Aphrodita, Pennant, 1777, British Zoology, Ed. 4, vol. 4, p. 38 (quarto edition).